
UNCLASSIFIED

(186)



INT 708/1

SECRET

1943 October 30, 1926

5 The Secretary:

Subject: Request from the Director of the Central Intelligence for State Department Policy Statements.

In the attached letter dated October 24, 1946, to Mr. Eddy, General Vandenberg, Director of Central Intelligence, has requested that CIG be furnished with the Department's Quarterly Policy and Information Statements.

The reasons given by General Vandenberg for desiring to receive the Policy and Information Statements are that CIG feels intelligence requirements cannot be developed without full knowledge of pertinent national policy and that he considers the Department's policy statements to come within the category of material included in the phrase "necessary facilities, intelligence, and information in the possession of our respective departments" as used in paragraph 7 of NIA Directive No. 1, copy attached, to describe the material which CIG is authorized to receive in the performance of its mission. General Vandenberg appears to desire the Department's policy statements for the purpose of including them in Part I of documents on "National Intelligence Requirements," pertaining to various countries, to be equirements, pertaining to various countries, to be

We, therefore, recommend that General Vandenberg's request be denied. Objective foreign intelligence is critically essential in the formulation of our foreign policy. The procural of this intelligence, its correlation and coordination is the function of CIG as the

operating

E CRI

Jam & Byrn

INCLASSIFIED

NUV O WAT

10.24 htt:01/

51

UNCLASSIFIED

INT 708/2

- 2 -


operating arm of the National Intelligence Authority. This process cannot be unbiased and objective if it is colored or affected by policy pronouncements which are based on intelligence derived from sources with which CIG is unfamiliar and which it is in no position to evaluate. It is believed that General Vandenberg's requirements in the field of policy orientation can be met by specific directives furnished him by the State Department's representative on the Intelligence Advisory Board after such directives have been considered and cleared by the Department's Advisory Committee on Intelligence (ACI).

Incidentally, the policy statements requested by General Vandenberg would appear not to be covered in the phrase "strategic and national policy intelligence" which paragraph 2 of NIA Directive No. 1 charges CIG with responsibility for furnishing to the President, the State, War, and Navy Departments, and to others.

Donald Russell

807

UNCLASSIFIED



November 4, 1946

My dear General Vandenbergs

I am writing in reply to your letter of October 2h, 1946, in which you request that the Department of State reconsider its position of not releasing Policy Statements to serve as a basis for intelligence requirements. Our desire to ecoperate fully with the Central Intelligence Group has led to a very thorough study of your request. The problem has been taken up on the highest level where the position of the Department has been reaffirmed, namely that it would be unwise for the Department to furnish its Policy Statements to serve as a basis for either Departmental or national intelligence.

Briefly, the Department's position with regard to its own Policy Statements is that intelligence information should be available to influence Department policy, but that current Department Policy Statements should not be made available to influence intelligence information: For this reason the Policy Statements are not furnished to our own planners and researchers in this office.

The problem is not one of security of the documents, which it is quite clear would be fully protected in CRG. It is the conviction of the Department, however, that the security of the essential information in the Policy Statements would not be insured merely by protection of the documents. If, as stated in paragraph 2 of your letter, at CRG draft of national intelligence requirements for China were to consist of three parts, the first part of which would be "U.S. policy towards China," it would follow that the mobilization of intelligence resources would be made to fit that current policy. With the possible recrientation of that policy, whether in China or in any other similar, there would follow presumably a recrientation of the directives for collecting, reporting and processing intelligence. Intelligence would process the directive fore, be a clear indication to a great many workers, and to their contacts, of the evolution of our foreign policy in its most delicate and crucial areas.

+R-11071015 It. 1 +R-11071015 VOO

H3.74

Lt. General Hoyt S. Tandenberg
Director, Central Intelligence Group
Enghington, D.C.

The Department

a) in its

EPM

UNCLASSIFIED

INT 708/4

-9-

The Department would deplore any such development for the vary good reason that the Department's occasional Pelicy and Information Statements are eff-the-ouff guides to policy officers, subject to constant revision, not considered by the Department as documents either to be quoted or filed for future reference. The same, of course, is not true of basis U.S. foreign policy valid throughout the world, a knowledge of which should be available to all Departments of the Government, such as the open door in economics, the self-determination of severeign states, the five freedoms of the air, ote.

Although it has been my duty to report that the Department does not feel that it can change its decision not to release Policy Statements, I am instructed to assure you of our desire to cooperate fully in transmitting to you personally and less formally any information on the Department's foreign policy which you may require from time to time. Such information can be readily made available, upon your request, in personal conference with one of your representatives, or in IAB meetings, where I would, as instructed by the Department, furnish policy information required.

Sincerely yours,

William A. Eddy.

SA-E:WAE to to

1-1

UNCLASSIFIFN

50